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Between 1918-1940

After the collapse of tsarist Russia, Estonia declared itself an independent democratic republic in 1918 but only became free in 1920, after expelling all German and Soviet Russian forces.

Its territory is comparable to the Netherlands or Denmark but the population is just 1.3 million.

During the first period of independence, 1920-1940, there were still several tsarist-time laws in force as the legislative reform took a while. Also, the old tsarist Penal Codes of 1903 and also of 1845 were implemented until 1935 when all new corresponding acts were finally enforced. The German 1923 system of juvenile courts was discussed greatly. However, the period of independence between the First and Second World Wars remained too short for such a profound renewal of the system.¹

In the abovementioned period, all juveniles were punished according to the mainstream legislation, by the corresponding civil or criminal courts. Within the tsarist-time legislation, the criminal responsibility age was 10 years; the new Estonian laws raised it to 12 years. There were foreseen possibilities to alleviate the punishment or, to appoint a parole/suspended sentence for those minors whose crimes were not repeated or deliberately against property.

For minors who did not commit criminal acts but whose behaviour was in some other sense against the norms of society or necessitated action according to some other law, the main responsibility was set on local communities and, on the Ministry of Education

¹ Criminal law development in Estonia
and Social Affairs. According to the Welfare Act, civil court was in its right to remove a child away from the family when the family could not ensure proper education or socio-economical conditions. Also, children with obvious personal risks of becoming criminals were placed into two special reformatories (closed boarding institutions) that were created, separately for boys and girls. Some more open boarding schools for those youth “without proper care” (i.e. not so severe offenders) were also established.

According to the available statistics, in 1924, 10% of all imprisoned persons (ca 4000 in total) were minors, under 18 years. The proportion of recorded crime, committed by minors, was around 17-22% of all registered crime. Nevertheless, it was customary that victims of crime, in lots of juvenile cases, made the punishment on the spot and, we can assume that more of juvenile crime was not reported to the police at all.

There was only one juvenile prison in that period where both remanded and convicted youth were kept. Peculiarly for the period, it was even possible to hold children from 8-15 years of age whose parents just were willing to send them away from the family, for “severe disobedience or disorders”. Yet, just around 1/3 of all juvenile prisoners were held in that institution, the rest were in ordinary adult prisons. The minimum term of such juvenile placements was 1 year and, usually not longer than reaching their 18 years of age or, in exceptional cases until 21 years of age.

Generally, we can still state that in 1920s and 30s, the main model and approach to juvenile crime was the welfare model, implemented through local authorities and social welfare system.

**Juvenile justice in the Soviet Union until 1991**

Estonia lost its independence again with the Soviet occupation in June 1940 and, after the II World War remained occupied until regaining independence in August 1991, after the collapse of the Soviet Union. Therefore, we must first look at the Soviet juvenile justice system as such.

Already in January 1918, Soviet Russia created a totally new system for dealing with misbehaving or criminal youth – Juvenile Justice Committees (JJC). All old tsarist legislation and institutions (even juvenile courts that had been operating in bigger cities) were abolished. The main concept was that young offenders are victims of their hereditary, family and social background and, personal evaluation as well as “strong education” are means to ‘cure’ that child.

Criminal responsibility age was set to 14 years and, even for 14-16 old there were foreseen possibilities of suspending the sentence and sending to reformatory for receiving

---

2 Juvenile system in Estonia 1918-2007
education and discipline.

All over the country closed, semi closed and open reformatory schools were created for youths. There was combined ordinary formal education with even greater amount of vocational training and actual work. Mostly the reformatories were managed by the Ministry of Interior but, separately from ordinary police or prison systems. Herewith, we have to keep in mind that Soviet economy used all prisoners as well as reformatory youth largely for their purposes of producing goods. Actually, even juvenile institutions were formally named ‘youth working colonies’.

Since 1935, Stalin implemented much harder policy on criminal youth and, the JJC system was abandoned for a while. Only harsh punishments were seen as means to change the behaviour, criminal responsibility age was lowered to 12 years. All youth were dealt with alongside adult offenders, especially after the WWII. Reformatories were turned even much more into producing units, lots of them were established near factories or inside of these.

In 1962 the JJC system was fully restored and remained in existence until 1991.\(^3\)

Local JJC-s were in each administrative unit of the country and, there were hieratical coordinating bodies on the ministerial level. These were all administrative bodies, not judicial.

Deliberately, the word “punishment” and everything related was avoided. The JJC system had its main functions of coordinating all preventive activities (lectures, inclusive field activities, summer-camps etc.) and, appointing appropriate “sanctions” for young offenders. Besides, they had to supervise the reformatories and juvenile prisons where minors were held.

Within this system, there were also first very contemporary possibilities created for restorative justice. One of the “sanctions” was appointing a mentor who could have also been remunerated for the work done. Through such mentoring there were lots of attempts of conciliation enacted.

The JJC was in a position to decree for immediate and short-term placements of problematic youth, for up to 15 days in special remand units for the children that were managed by the police. (There was no formal need for criminal procedure for such placement, just wandering around might have been enough.) And, the most severe sanction was sending to the reformatory, for up to several years.

Therefore, although and administrative body, the JJC had somewhat court-like scope of authority because reformatory was definitely related to confining one’s liberty.

---

3 Juvenile justice legislation in Estonia, 2010
In every administrative unit of the country, the police (formally, ‘militia’ in those days) also had special youth police officers. They were mostly with pedagogical background and were dealing with minor offences of children. Usually, preventive talks with very young offenders by those police officers were enough because the JJC was already a much more severe institution of intervention.

Criminal responsibility age was 15, with very severe crimes 13. There was always the possibility of terminating proceedings and forwarding a case to the JJC to implement sanctions (Actually, it was not used so often, the criminal sanctions by the court were most common and, those ended up in real imprisonment, usually). Juvenile prisons were of several degrees of regime and had often hundreds of beds, usually 8-15 in one room. Therefore, the recidivism rate was very high in the Soviet penal system.

Since 1991...

After re-independence, the Soviet Penal Code and Code of Criminal Procedure were revised in several stages. First, not very thorough revision was enacted in 1992, with abolishing lots of purely sovietic types of crime. For instance, there was formerly possibility of 14 different crimes to be punished by capital punishment in the Soviet criminal law.

Then, during a 10-year period there was legislative process going on in every field of law, including the criminal law. It ended up with a new Penal Code enacted since 2002.4

What concerns specifically juvenile justice, for lots of years there was a legal gap. In some regions of the country JJC were operating and, the reformatories were kept running, too.

During the years of active work on criminal and penal reforms, there were again hard discussions about establishing juvenile courts, only to be abandoned again.

Therefore, current Juvenile Sanctions Act was enacted in 1998 and, since then there have been no major revisions, although is it no more contemporary and does not meet the needs of major operators in the field. Generally, we can state that our current system of JJC as well as reformatories is fully inherited from Soviet times. Nowadays the Scandinavian approach – which we are most close to, by all means – that is more oriented at child protection and social welfare, in similar cases, is becoming our ideal.5

Positively, Estonia has been quite leading, at the EU level, in developing opportunity measures in criminal proceedings and creating means of releasing from punishment or substituting the imprisonment. Most of juveniles, whenever possible, are dealt with according to those, alleviating means, in order to provide them with better restorative or resettling opportunities.

5 Juvenile justice legislation in Estonia, 2010
Further, Estonian current legal and institutional system (mostly unaltered since 2002) is dealt with.

**Principal sanctions for misdemeanors and crimes**

Offences are criminal offences and misdemeanours.

A criminal offence is an offence which is provided for in Penal Code and the principal punishment prescribed for which is a pecuniary punishment or imprisonment.

A misdemeanour is an offence which is provided for in this Penal Code or another Act and the principal punishment prescribed for which is a fine or detention.

For a misdemeanour, the following principal punishments are possible:

- Fine - 12-1200 EUR (usually imposed by the police or, another public authority, i.e. local community, customs service etc.)
- Detention - up to 30 days (in the police cells, imposed only by the court)

For a criminal offence, the following principal punishments are possible (by the court):

- Pecuniary punishment (fine) - 120-2000 EUR (for minors 120-1000 EUR and only when the minor has personal income)
- Imprisonment - 30 days - 20 years, or life imprisonment (for minors up to 10 years and no life imprisonment)

**Principal substitutes of imprisonment**

For criminal offences, the imprisonment (or detention) can be substituted by:

- **Community service**
  One day of detention or imprisonment corresponds to two hours of community service. The duration of community service shall not exceed 8 hours a day. If an offender or convicted offender performs community service during the time free from his or her other work or studies, the duration of community service shall not exceed four hours a day. An offender or convicted offender shall not be remunerated for this. If a court imposes community service, the court shall determine the term for the performance of the service which shall not exceed twenty-four months in the case of criminal offences and twelve months in the case of misdemeanours. If an offender or convicted offender evades community service, a convicted offender fails to comply with supervisory requirements
or perform the obligations imposed on him or her, the court may, on the basis of a request of a police authority or a report prepared by a probation officer, enforce the detention or imprisonment imposed on the offender or convicted offender. In the case of execution of the detention or imprisonment, the sentence shall be deemed to be served to the extent of the community service served by the offender or convicted offender, whereas two hours of community service correspond to one day of detention or imprisonment.

In practice, most of community service cases are from a couple of hundred hours, up to one thousand hours. For minors, it is customary to impose less than a hundred hours.

But, last year in one juvenile case, the 2 years term of imprisonment was substituted with 1264 hours of community service.

Community service is organised and supervised by the probation officers. Prison and probation service has contracts with lots of service providers (NGOs, local authorities, enterprises) who receive these people to work on behalf of them and keep diaries for the probation service. 20% of all clients of the probation (i.e. ca 1500 out of 6000) are performing community service now.

- **Electronic surveillance**
  If a court imposes imprisonment of up to six months, the court may substitute the imprisonment by electronic surveillance. One day of imprisonment corresponds to one day of electronic surveillance. Imprisonment shall be substituted by electronic surveillance only with the consent of the convicted offender. If a convicted offender fails to submit to electronic surveillance or withdraws his or her consent for application of electronic surveillance prior to the expiry of the term of the punishment, the court shall order, based on the report of the probation officer, enforcement of the substituted imprisonment.

  This type of control has been in existence since 2007 but, it has still not very wide scope of usage. Current devices combine GPS and GSM technologies and there is very exact, online surveillance furthering developed. The probation officer can set limits of movement with exactness of streets and, in case of trespassing, the system of alarm is activated automatically.

  Usually, the term of imposing is some 3-4 months. After that, the restrictions are alleviated and, other means of less controlling are imposed.

  During the first, testing period of the electronic surveillance, 2007-2011 altogether 800 persons went through this system and, 5% of them were sent (back) to prison.

  Also, electronic surveillance is used when somebody is not arrested for remand detention but there is need for a better control of movements.

  Thirdly, electronic surveillance is used when a prisoner applies for an early parole.
Through an ordinary procedure, in most of imprisonment cases, a convict has to serve 2/3 of the sentence before being entitled for an early parole. But, with the electronic surveillance, the convict may be released already after having served 1/2 of the sentence.

Actually, every day around 110 people are carrying these electronic surveillance devices.

**Principle means of release from punishment**

- **Probation with subjection of convicted offender to supervision of conduct**

  If a court, taking into consideration the circumstances relating to the commission of a criminal offence and the personality of the offender, finds that the service of the imposed imprisonment for a specified term by the convicted offender is unreasonable, the court may order suspension of the sentence on probation.

  Probation shall be imposed for a period of *eighteen months to three years*.

- **Probation (without supervision)**

  If a court, taking into consideration the circumstances relating to the commission of a criminal offence and the personality of the offender, finds that service of the imprisonment imposed for a specified term or payment of the amount of the pecuniary punishment by the convicted offender is unreasonable, the court may order suspension of the sentence on probation.

  Probation shall be ordered for a period of *three to five years*.

---

6 *During the Supervision of conduct, a convicted offender is required to comply with the following supervisory requirements:*  
to reside in a permanent place of residence determined by the court;  
to report at intervals determined by the probation supervisor at the probation supervision department;  
to submit, in his or her place of residence, to the supervision of the probation officer and provide the probation officer with information relating to the performance of the offender’s obligations and his or her means of subsistence;  
to obtain the permission of a probation officer before leaving his or her place of residence within the territory of Estonia for longer than fifteen days;  
to obtain the permission of the probation officer before changing residence, employment or place of study;  
to obtain the permission of a probation officer before leaving the territory of Estonia and staying outside the territory of Estonia.

Taking into consideration the circumstances relating to the commission of the criminal offence and the personality of the convicted offender, the court may impose the following obligations on the convicted offender for the period of supervision of conduct:

- to remedy the damage caused by the criminal offence within a term determined by the court;
- not to consume alcohol or narcotics;
- not to hold, carry or use weapons;
- to seek employment, acquire general education or a profession within the term determined by the court;
- to undergo the prescribed treatment if the offender has previously consented to such treatment;
- to perform the maintenance obligation;
- not to stay in places determined by the court or communicate with persons determined by the court;
- to participate in social assistance programmes;
- to submit to electronic surveillance if the offender has previously consented to such surveillance.
- **Electronic surveillance**
Here it means: the obligation imposed on a convicted offender for the term ordered by the court to submit to monitoring of compliance with the restrictions of freedom of movement by an electronic device attached to the body of the convicted offender which permits determination of the location of the convicted offender. The court may order electronic surveillance with the term of *one to twelve months* (Also described in the previous chapter).

- **Release on parole**
If a person has been convicted of commission of a criminal offence in the second degree, or a criminal offence in the first degree through negligence, the court may release the convicted offender on parole if he or she has actually served:

  - 1) at least one third but not less than six months of the term of the imposed punishment and the person agrees to the application of the electronic surveillance; or

  - 2) at least half but not less than six months of the term of the imposed punishment.

If a person has been convicted of intentional commission of a criminal offence in the *first degree*, the court may release the person on parole if the convicted person has actually served:

  - 1) at least half but not less than six months of the term of the imposed punishment and the person agrees to the application of the electronic surveillance; or

  - 2) at least two-thirds of the term of the imposed punishment.

A period of probation shall be determined to the extent of the unserved part of the term of the punishment but for not less than one year. During the period of probation, the person shall be subject to supervision of conduct.

**Current Estonian juvenile justice approach**
According to the law...

- child – less than 18 years of age (in vernacular: laps)

- minor – between 7-17 years of age (in vernacular: alaealine)

---

7 *Penal Code, § 4. Degrees of criminal offences*
(1) Criminal offences are criminal offences in the first and in the second degree.
(2) A criminal offence in the first degree is an offence the maximum punishment prescribed for which in this Code is imprisonment for a term of more than five years, life imprisonment or compulsory dissolution.
(3) A criminal offence in the second degree is an offence the punishment prescribed for which in this Code is imprisonment for a term of up to five years or a pecuniary punishment.
- young prisoner/probationer – 14-20 years of age (according to the Imprisonment Act)

Criminal responsibility age is 14.

Here and elsewhere:

juvenile = minor (7-17 years who has committed some offence)

young offender – minors and young prisoners and young probationers

One of the main objectives of the current offending reduction policy is: reduction and prevention of juvenile violence and delinquency.

The studies of other states indicate that juvenile violence is mainly expressed with respect to another juvenile, it occurs more often in schools and in connection with leisure activities. Also, by analysing juvenile crime of violence registered in Estonia, it becomes evident that violence against juveniles is mainly used by persons of their age and by acquaintances and juvenile violence is seldom directed against strangers. Juveniles use violence most often in the street and in other public places; a little more than every third case of violence took place either in school or in another child care institution. Settling of quarrels by violence that is typical of juveniles refers to the fact that young people do not know how to communicate or make themselves understandable without causing damage to others. It also indicates tolerance of young people toward the use of violence.

Another document that sets guidelines for the work, is Criminal policy development plan until 2018. As it sets, the primary objectives of criminal policy are the prevention of recidivism and juvenile delinquency. The prevention of juvenile delinquency helps to prevent criminal offences in adulthood; the prevention of recidivism reduces the number of criminal offences and the risk of falling victim to crime.

In order to prevent minors turning to crime and for early identification of children at risk, the local governments shall develop a system for early identification of problems occurring in growing environment; the Ministry of Social Affairs together with local governments shall develop the parenting skills of parents and improve cooperation between the specialists of this field. Dealing with the problems occurring in school environment helps to prevent minors turning to crime. The Ministry of Education and Research together with local governments and schools shall take measures for the prevention of non-performance of the obligation to attend school, bullying in schools and other problems occurring in school environment which affect mental and physical security of pupils.

Nevertheless, when not very exactly speaking about legal texts, “juvenile justice” concerns always the area of implementing justice for people up to 21 years old who are in some context entitled to any kind of special treatment.

Development Plan for Reducing Violence for 2010-2014

Criminal policy development plan until 2018
**Juvenile justice institutions**

Juvenile Justice Committees (JJC) are established and act according to the Juvenile Sanctions Act. There are JJC in all administrative regions of the country (15) and, additionally in lots of local communities too, that are coordinated from the regional level, in that case.

They deal with the offences that are committed by children of 7-13 years and 14-17 years.\(^{11}\)

The police have youth and prevention units everywhere in the country.

These officers are giving lectures in schools, organising different activities for youths and, of course, making necessary formal proceedings with offending youths.

There are specialised juvenile prosecutors in every region of Estonia.

When a crime is committed and the police have ended up the investigation and, the perpetrator is between 14-17 years (under 18), the prosecutor has actually in every criminal case lots of alternative proceedings available. Only very rare juvenile cases are sent to the court and, this system is dealt with more profoundly below.

It is the absolute priority for all criminal investigations where minor is involved as perpetrator, that whenever possible the investigation is finished within 3 months.

There are no juvenile courts/judges in Estonia. Nevertheless, it is customary that in every courthouse some criminal judges are more versed in juvenile matters and they handle the cases with minor offenders.

There are specialized youth probation officers who deal with all young people 14-21 years of age who have their sentence as community service, supervision of conduct, conditional probation sentence, early release from prison and parole.

In Estonia, the probation service is subjugated to the prison service. All probation officers are non-uniformed and, there is a special unit that manages all young offender cases.

There are ca 6000 people managed by the probation service, ca 10% of them young people.

There are two reformatories that are managed by the Ministry of Education and Research, one for boys and another for girls. Youth are sent there by the JJC, but with a formal approval from the court, as these institutions are limiting ones liberty quite severely.

---

\(^{11}\) JJC work most closely with the communities. In the child welfare system of local communities, there are ca 150 child welfare workers altogether. It means that, on an average, there are ca 1650 children per one worker, at the moment. (Not all communities have child welfare staff. In that case the function is fulfilled by a social worker.)
Youth can be placed there between 10-18 years of age, usually for one or two years. This sanction is not providing a criminal record, reformatories are primarily educational institutions.

There are usually 40 boys and 25 girls in reformatories, most of them 14-15 years old.

Within the actual prison system, there are special units for minors in one big prison, for both remand and convicts. All 14-17 year olds are separated from older prisoners and, prison officers who have proper training are dealing with them. (Also, 18-20 years old are separated from other adults).

Imprisonment is a very extreme measure for minors, in recent years usually not more than 20 remands and 20 convicts of 14-17 are kept there. There are around 3000 people in Estonian prisons, altogether.

Partially, victim support service (functioning also as mediation) is also related to juvenile justice.

Around 4500 people are annually seeking help from those counsellors that are under the Ministry of Social Affairs. Most of the clients suffer from family violence, the rest are sent there by the police or other institutions. Victim support service performs formal conciliation, foreseen in Penal Code as alternative to punishment, around 600-700 cases per year.
Sanctions for the juveniles and different proceedings

When a law has been trespassed and a young perpetrator is found...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>MISDEMEANOUR</th>
<th>CRIME</th>
<th>IMPLEMENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>Police forwards the child to the parents or finds another secure placement, if the child has been detained. Local community child welfare worker is informed. No legal action.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-13</td>
<td>Police forwards the child to the parents or finds another secure placement, if the child has been detained. Local community child welfare worker is informed. Formal investigation is not initiated or will be terminated. All materials of the offence are sent to the JJC for sanctions</td>
<td>JJC applies one of 9 sanctions or, several of them. Reformatory possible since 10 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-17</td>
<td>Police or other administrative body has 2 possibilities:  - Send the case to the JJC  - Apply a punishment (fine)</td>
<td>Police makes full investigation and forwards the case to the prosecutor who has several options:  - Full termination (no criminal record) and sending to the JJC  - Opportunity termination*  - Referring to conciliation  - Sending to the court, for simplified or full proceedings**</td>
<td>JJC applies one of 9 sanctions or, several of them. Conciliation is performed by the Victim Support Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-20</td>
<td>Ordinary proceedings</td>
<td>Ordinary proceedings</td>
<td>Only in prisons these young people are kept separately and in probation service, specialized officers are dealing with them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-</td>
<td>Ordinary proceedings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See next page and ff.

** Substitutes of imprisonment or means of release from punishment will apply, as described before.
Out of all youths aged 7-17 years, 1.5% are dealt with by JJCs. 60% of the ca 3000 cases per year are youngsters below 14 years responsibility age who have committed misdemeanours or crimes. Most of those above 14 years have committed a crime, but those are mostly first-time crimes and/or not very severe so that the prosecutor has found possible to terminate the case.

65% of the referrals to the JJC come from the police (misdemeanours or crimes below 14), 20% from the prosecutor (only crimes above 14) and the rest are other agencies who can make referrals (i.e. local authorities). "

Out of all crimes of minors aged 14-17, 3/4 of the cases are terminated or other opportunity measures chosen by the prosecutor. In 1/3 of the cases it means sending to the JJC, the rest are other means of opportunity. But, in juvenile cases, conciliation is not used very often, mostly due to the long term that has already passed since the crime was committed, until the end of proceedings.

Estonian court system is not overburdened with the load of criminal cases because the prosecutor’s office uses their means of opportunity in criminal proceedings quite a lot. Out of all investigated crimes, only 25% end up in the court. But, out of these, again ¾ are just for the formal approval of the alternative agreement of simplified measure, proposed by the prosecutor. Just a small fraction encounter full criminal proceedings at the court. "

**Sanctions for the juveniles through JJCs**
- 1) Warning.
- 2) Sanctions concerning organisation of study.
- 3) Referral to a psychologist, addiction specialist, social worker or other specialist for consultation.
- 4) Conciliation.
- 5) An obligation to live with a parent, foster-parent, guardian or in a family with a caregiver or in a children’s home.
- 6) Community service.
- 7) Surety.

---

12 Delinquency of minors in Estonia (Research, 2007)
13 Efficaciousness of juvenile punishments, 2008
- 8) Participation in youth or social programs or medical treatment programs.
- 9) Sending to reformatory.

Actual number of Sanctions applied, as of 2012\(^\text{14}\) (full data for 2013 is still not available), according to the number of occurrence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sanction</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>warning</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>referral to a psychologist...</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community service</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participation in youth or social programs</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sending to reformatory</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an obligation to live with a parent</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sanctions concerning organisation of study</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surety</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conciliation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALTOGETHER</strong></td>
<td><strong>3126</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In some cases, several sanctions are applied.

Also, when a minor does not comply with a sanction, there will be re-hearing and a new sanction can be appointed. Moreover, when a minor avoids compulsory meetings in the JJC, the police can be involved to find and bring the minor to the JJC. (The minor can be kept in the police cells up to 48 hours, before the JJC will decide for a sanction. This is the maximum time of administrative detention, without judicial approval).\(^\text{15}\)

**Reformatory: 2 ways of referring**

*Age 10-18, 10-12 in exceptional cases (most of juveniles 14-16)*

*The decree usually for 1 or 2 years, it can be shortened or prolonged*

---

\(^\text{14}\) Juvenile justice committees annual report, 2012

\(^\text{15}\) Juvenile justice committees overview of 1999-2004
Through the JJC (95% cases) | Directly from the court (5% cases)
---|---
Very repeated misdemeanours or, | The criminal case is sent from prosecutor to the court for full hearing
Usually several crimes | May also be for the first crime when is was not very serious
Case materials from police (misdemeanour or all cases under 14) or prosecutor (crime over 14) | Full hearing, 1 judge and 2 magistrates
JJC (7 persons) makes a decision | Involves criminal record
Court approves (1 person) | |

### Ministries and Institutions involved

**Estonia**

 Territory: 45,000 sq. km
 Population: 1,310,000
 State administration: 15 counties / prefectures
 Local administration: 215 municipalities (30 towns and 185 parishes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</th>
<th>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</th>
<th>MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND RESEARCH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROSECUTOR’S OFFICE</strong></td>
<td><strong>POLICE AND BORDER GUARD DEPARTMENT</strong></td>
<td><strong>YOUTH WORK DEPARTMENT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 District Offices</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Some connection with JJC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special prosecutors on juveniles</td>
<td>Youth and Prevention Unit</td>
<td>Annual statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Police Prefectures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COURTS</strong></td>
<td><strong>Youth and Prevention Units</strong></td>
<td><strong>GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 County Courts</td>
<td>Youth police: 80 officers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judges specialized on juveniles</td>
<td></td>
<td>All state schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 reformatories: Tapa and Kaagvere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF PRISONS</td>
<td>Ministry of Regional Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 prisons</td>
<td>15 Prefectures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison population: 3000 inmates</td>
<td>Juvenile Justice Committee in every prefecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of these: 40 minors</td>
<td>Also, JJC in some municipalities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-17 years of age</td>
<td>Altogether, appr. 3000 cases annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Young inmates are: 14-20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VIRU PRISON**

(special department for juveniles and young inmates – to be kept separately from adults)

Most of juveniles are released after serving ½ of the time, continuing under probation supervision

**Resettlement Unit**

*(Probation Service)*

Probation is under the prisons

Special units for young offenders (until 25 years of age)

App. 6000 people under supervision

**As of 2014, in these reformatories:**

- 70 young offenders
- 100 staff

Mandatory school age:

- 17 years or 9 grades (gymnasium)

Every year some 1000 students abrupt their studies before meeting this requirement

2 main tasks:

- hearing the cases mostly sent by the police (smoking, misuse of alcohol, other offences)

then, criminal cases from the prosecutor’s office

- coordination of the preventive work in the territory

App. 6000 people under supervision

Every committee consists of 7 persons:

- Chairman
- Deputy
- Secretary
- 4 other members: police and probation officers, child welfare worker and a psychologist

Appr. 3000 cases annually
Opportunity in criminal proceedings

According to the Code of Criminal Procedure, the prosecutor’s office holds very wide scope of action in all criminal cases but, especially where young perpetrators are concerned.

1. Referral of materials to juvenile justice committee

If a Prosecutor’s Office finds that a minor who has committed a criminal offence in the age of 14 to 18 can be influenced without imposition of a punishment or a sanction prescribed in § 87 of the Penal Code, the Prosecutor’s Office shall terminate the criminal proceeding by a ruling and refer the criminal file to the juvenile justice committee of the place of residence of the minor.

This stipulates only the cases of minors.

The following ones are possible for all perpetrators but, with the minors these are also used often.

2. Termination of criminal proceedings in case of lack of public interest in proceedings and in case of negligible guilt

If the object of criminal proceedings is a criminal offence in the second degree and the guilt of the person suspected or accused of the offence is negligible, and he or she has remedied or has commenced to remedy the damage caused by the criminal offence or has paid the expenses relating to the criminal proceedings, or assumed the obligation to pay such expenses, and there is no public interest in the continuation of the criminal proceedings, the Prosecutor’s Office may request termination of the criminal proceedings by a court with the consent of the suspect or accused.

In addition to this termination, there may be imposed (as it is usually done) one or several obligations on the perpetrator, like:

- To pay the expenses relating to the criminal proceedings or compensate for the damage caused by the criminal offence
- To pay a fixed amount into the public revenues or to be used for specific purposes in the interest of the public
- To perform 10 to 240 hours of community service
- Not to consume narcotics and to undergo the prescribed addiction treatment.
3. Termination of criminal proceedings due to lack of proportionality of punishment

If the object of criminal proceedings is a criminal offence in the second degree, the Prosecutor’s Office may request termination of the criminal proceedings by a court with the consent of the suspect or accused and the victim if:

1) the punishment to be imposed for the criminal offence would be negligible compared to the punishment which has been or presumably will be imposed on the suspect or accused for the commission of another criminal offence;

2) imposition of a punishment for the criminal offence cannot be expected during a reasonable period of time and the punishment which has been or presumably will be imposed on the suspect or accused for the commission of another criminal offence is sufficient to achieve the objectives of the punishment and satisfy the public interest in the proceeding.

A request of a Prosecutor’s Office shall be adjudicated by a ruling of a judge sitting alone, in both abovementioned terminations.

4. Termination of criminal proceedings on the basis of conciliation

If facts relating to a criminal offence in the second degree which is the object of criminal proceedings are obvious and there is no public interest in the continuation of the criminal proceedings and the suspect or the accused has reconciled with the victim, the Prosecutor’s Office may request termination of the criminal proceedings by a court with the consent of the suspect or accused and the victim.

This stipulation does not apply in criminal offences committed by an adult person against a victim who is a minor.

Again, a request of a Prosecutor’s Office shall be adjudicated by a ruling of a judge sitting alone.

Special sanctions for minors by the court

Moreover, the court can implement some special measures even when the prosecutor has not found any need for that.

Penal Code, § 87. Sanctions applicable to minors

(1) Taking into account the level of the moral and mental development of a person of 14 to 18 years of age and his or her ability to understand the unlawfulness of his or her act or to act according to such understanding, the court may release the person from
punishment and impose the following sanctions on him or her:

- 1) Admonition.
- 2) Subjection to supervision of conduct.
- 3) Placement in a youth home.
- 4) Placement in a reformatory.

(2) A court may subject a person of less than 18 years of age to supervision of conduct for up to one year. On the basis of a report prepared by the probation officer, a court may extend the term of supervision of conduct by up to one year or, as an exception, until the convicted offender attains 18 years of age.

Actually, these cases are not very frequent and happen only when during the proceedings at the court it is found that there is anyway some possibility of releasing a youngster from the punishment. For instance, just 5% of cases sent to the reformatory are done directly by the court, the other 95% are through the JJCs. The youth home has not been used at all, as there are none established.

Crime and punishment data\textsuperscript{16}

\textbf{As of 2013, the national crime survey data is as follows}

- 40,000 crimes (the number has been constantly falling last 10 years)
- 275,000 misdemeanours (mostly handled and punished by the police)

Minors have committed 9\% of all crimes.

More than half of these are related to stealing or burglary or other crimes against property.\textsuperscript{17}

Second major group is crimes against other person but, mostly these are not very serious youth fights in school or other places where young people gather. Actually, any bullying that has even some kind of physical impact, is considered a crime.

The minors have committed ca 10\% of all misdemeanours, too.

Nevertheless, here Estonian statistics are not comparable to most of other EU countries. For instance, most of juvenile misdemeanours are here just for possessing or using

\textsuperscript{16} Comparative data on crime and justice in Estonia, 2013
\textsuperscript{17} Duration of criminal proceedings of juvenile offenders, 2012
alcoholic drinks or tobacco (ca 75%, altogether). In most of such cases, police appoints fines to minors or sends them to the JJC.

**Different proceedings and recidivism**

In instances of less serious criminal offences and under some other conditions, the Prosecutor’s Office has been granted the right of discretion or the right not to send a person who has committed a criminal offence to the court and terminate proceedings against the person. The Prosecutor’s Office has actively used this possibility in Estonia since the implementation of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The term “termination due to reasons of expediency” refers on one hand to this that when the judicial proceedings are not conducted this will help to save the state resources, but also, for example, the time and money of people who have committed criminal offences and suffered thereby. On the other hand, the expediency marks the prosecutor’s conviction that people with respect to whom the proceedings are terminated can be directed to lead law-abiding life also without applying criminal punishment.

The recidivism rate in the case of these people with respect to whom the proceedings were terminated has remained during the one-year observation period on the level of 18% during last five years. If within first three months after the termination of proceedings 5% committed a new criminal offence, then within three years every third from people with respect to whom the proceedings were terminated committed a new crime – compared to people released from prison, this indicator is nearly two times lower.\(^{18}\)

The situations in which the criminal matters of minors who had committed criminal offences were referred to juvenile justice committee for hearing, the proceedings were terminated due to lack of public interest in proceedings, and proceedings were terminated due to lack of proportionality of punishment, which were separately observed.

In case of minors referred to juvenile justice committee we are dealing with people who have often committed criminal offences for the first time. At the same time, also in their case one could have assumed a somewhat higher recidivism rate than actually became evident from the analysis, as in general the recidivism of young people is higher when compared to other age groups.\(^{19}\)

As it is obvious from this one-year analysis, referral to the juvenile justice committee is creating less possibilities for recidivism. Most probably the reasons are twofold: they might be not so serious cases and, the JJC have also different educational sanctions to apply for a period of time.

\(^{18}\) Recidivism in Estonia 2010, summary
\(^{19}\) Criminal recidivism in Estonia, 2010
Demographical situation and relations to the crime

Out of the 1.3 million population, Estonians make up 70%. Out of the other 30%, most of them are Russians or other native Russian speakers. Estonia has an insignificant Roman community and almost no immigrants coming from other countries due to our geographical situation and quite strict and deterring state policy against immigrants.

Most of those-abovementioned Russian families came to Estonia during the Soviet era, working here in big factories or other planned economy businesses. Unfortunately, the integration of non-Estonians into contemporary society takes more than one generation. Most of these minority members do not speak the Estonian language at all or not very well, therefore their options in the job market are not so wide and their socio-economical problems are bigger.

Therefore, the criminal activity of non-Estonians is usually 50-60% higher than of Estonians.

Accordingly, in the prisons, only 40% of prisoners are Estonians.

These factors are most dominant with the convicted criminals of 25-40 years of age. Russian men of this age are more involved in violent crime than Estonian men.

Nevertheless, when we look at current minor offenders, we can see that the number of Estonians is definitely higher and therefore it is possible to expect some better stabilisation of the juvenile offences in their demographical segments, during the current decade. Different, not so well self-sufficient layers of the society are anyway beginning to cope with better and this has a positive impact on the juvenile offences.

On the other hand, all Estonian society is aging and, the proportion of young people
has been falling. Therefore, the average juvenile crime has remained on the same level – for all 10,000 young people between 14-17 years of age, the number of offenders has constantly been around 300.  

By age groups the recidivism of young people up to 26 years old exceeded many times the recidivism of people over 54 years old – both with respect to people released from prison and convicted offenders but, also of people with respect to whom proceedings were terminated due to reasons of expediency. In case of termination, the recidivism rate of young people depending on age was 20%, however, the recidivism rate of people over 54 years old was 5%. The annual recidivism indicator of convicted young people reached up to 36%, while in case of people over 54 years old it remained on the level of 13%.

One-year recidivism survey according to the age and type of conviction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Released from prison</th>
<th>Convicted offenders</th>
<th>Proceeding terminated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–26</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–35</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–44</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–53</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 or more</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Juveniles in prison**

Years ago, there were hundreds of minors in our prisons and, they were mostly living in 4-8-bed rooms. That had inevitably all impacts of institutionalisation and creating of subculture, permanent bullying and harassing. A decade ago, it can be stated, around 20% of minor inmates had suffered some kind of sexual harassment while in prison. Which made them more antisocial and depraved, furthering desperate criminal careers. Nowadays the scene is much brighter and controlled.

Although the number of minors in prison has been recently fluctuating between 35 and 40 (the number of all young offenders 14-20 is around 200), their actual conditions within the prison system should be explained more in detail. All minors (remand and convicts) are held in Viru Prison that has a special department for minors and other young detainees. An absolute most of prisoners in Estonia are kept in closed facilities; the same applies for minors, too.

---

20 Juvenile violent crime, 2010
21 Recidivism in Estonia 2010, summary
There are 4 prisons in Estonia that are regionally dispersed. Two of them are new facilities, for around 1000 people who are living in twin cells.

The other 2 prisons have much older facilities, some people still share 8-bed cells. Hopefully, the final new prison will be established by 2017 and, since then all detainees are kept in contemporary facilities where dignity and personal development of persons is much better ensured.

A minor remand detainee...

Anybody can be kept in the police cells without judicial approval up to 48 hours. After that, if necessary, the court pronounces the remand term. Usually it is for up to 6 months, for the term of investigation. (Yes, remand terms are quite long in Estonia).

Mostly the remanded are transferred to the prison, as soon as possible, because police cells do not have proper facilities or healthcare or courtyards.

Remanded in prison are almost all time locked up in their twin cells. 1 hour of courtyard and, for minors, at least some social activities are also foreseen.

They have their private clothes but, all correspondence and phone calls are limited, for the purpose of ensuring greater isolation and facilitating the investigation.

A minor convict...

They are obliged to wear prison uniform. Minors have different colour uniform, in order to make a visible separation from the adults. Mainly, minors are not allowed to smoke tobacco, which is a punishable deed in prison.

There are lots of disciplinary penalties foreseen in the Imprisonment Act, most severe of them, for minors, up to 20 days in segregation unit -punishment cell- (for adults, the maximum is 45 days).

All minors are obliged to study. There are schools operating in all prisons, both formal education as well as vocational training.
Education in prisons

So-called prison education is a part of Estonian national education system, organised by the Ministry of Education and Research. Prison education is regulated in legislation including the Education Act, the Imprisonment Act, and others.

All prisoners have a right for education by the law.

In prison, it is possible to obtain education both in Estonian and in Russian.

According to the Education Act, school attendance is mandatory until possessing primary education (9 years of school) or the age of 17. So, studying in prison is voluntary for the adult detainees – and mandatory for minor offenders.

General education on the levels of primary and secondary school is organized by the regional education facilities. Thereby the school diploma does not show that the student has mastered it in prison. In every prison, there is possible to obtain full secondary education of 12 years.

Vocational education: prisoners are provided with the opportunity to acquire vocational education according to their wish and attitude. Prisoners who have not acquired basic education can choose between vocational education and shorter vocational training courses.
Vocational education is available in all prisons. Classes are also held in Estonian and Russian. The most common professions are metal, woodwork, construction and sewing.

The objective of providing an opportunity to prisoners to acquire education is to ensure that the prisoners have adequate knowledge, skills and ethical principles that would allow the prisoners to continue their education and work after release.

Higher education (third level of education): prisoners may, at their request, be permitted to study at educational institutions located outside prisons. There are 5 to 7 such cases per year. The permit to study outside the penitentiary gives the prisoner the right to be outside the prison area according to the curriculum in daytime; by evening the prisoner must return to the penitentiary facilities. Anyway, such cases are very rare and exceptional here.

Prisoners who are not proficient in the Estonian language can, at their request, be provided with an opportunity to study Estonian. Non-Estonians are integrated into the Estonian society by the state language and civic duty courses organized by the prison. The prisoners have the right, if they wish, to make the Estonian language proficiency test.

When compiling the individual schedule to be fulfilled the educational worker or the official from the social office finds out the detainee’s educational level and the wish or the need to continue the studies. The educational worker explains to the prisoner the possibilities to continue the studies in and out of the prison area. The records of the prisoner’s educational background and studying in prison are entered to the prison registry.

All this described above is supported with different social rehabilitation programs in prison. The prisoners attend those voluntarily.
B. Restorative approach within juvenile justice

During the last decade, Estonia has been leaning more and more towards restorative models in implementing justice. Coming out from the Soviet criminal and penal system is not an easy endeavour. We had established harsh punishing approaches and long-term prison sentences as a standard reaction of society. Still, public expectations for punishments are usually very simplified and do not consider personal rehabilitation of convicts or wider stabilisation in society.\(^{22}\)

Values underlying restorative practices stem from the belief that crime is not only breaking the law but also damaging people, relationships and communities. Restorative practices require offenders to take responsibility for their actions and for the harm they have caused. For restorative practices to take effect it is important that communities join in a co-operative effort and the government support these developments.\(^ {23}\)

On another hand, especially when talking about juvenile offenders, there has been a very lenient approach to all crimes by minors. Practitioners become worried when the authorities take no proper legal action, even after several repeated crimes by a minor. Effective tertiary prevention, in order to be really effective, necessitates both quickness of the response as well as inevitability of punishment. Still, we do not mean the level or nature of the punishment but a valid reaction as such.

Two ways of implementing the restorative approach

According to Juvenile Sanctions Act, there is a possibility to mediate juveniles as part of the decision of a juvenile justice committee (JJC). This system has been functioning since 1998.

Since 2007, a section on conciliation (mediation) in the Code of Criminal Procedure came into force which allows for prosecutors and judges to refer a case to mediators and mediation. If the mediation is successful, the criminal investigation will be terminated according to principle of opportunity and there will be no criminal record for the perpetrator.\(^ {24}\)

Therefore, our juvenile justice system has currently two major implementing agencies related to the restorative justice.

\(^ {22}\) Crime Prevention in Estonia, EUCPN report 2011
\(^ {23}\) Victims of crime in Estonia, 1993-2000
\(^ {24}\) Supporting Victims of Crime in Estonia
1. In every case that is referred to the JJC, there is a possibility of appointing a sanction ‘conciliation’ (or, ‘mediation’). JJC hires different suitable specialists to perform that work. Sometimes there will be just one or two meetings between all parties concerned but, when the case necessitates, there will be a longer rehabilitation undertaken, than involves other specialists, too, like family therapist.

The JJC system mostly employs family mediators to fulfil this function because quite often there is more conflict between the parents of the perpetrator and victim, than between the youth concerned. And, therefore, mostly there is not used formal sanction ‘mediation’ but a ‘referral to the specialist’ which enables a longer and more profound process of actual restorative justice implemented.

Those specialists or mediators may be hired as private persons or through NGOs or companies.

All costs of the sanctions imposed are carried by the appointing JJC, from the finances they have available from the state budget.

There is just one major hindrance for successful restorative conciliation in this field. Usually, the corresponding sanction is decided not before than some months after an offence was committed.

Therefore, the time-lapse has already been quite significant for young people and, quite often there is no more actual need for conciliation or, it does not have any proper meaning or purpose.

Also, quite often such conciliation has already been performed, with the help of police officers who were dealing with the case or, local social welfare workers or child protection specialists.

Therefore, formal data is definitely insufficient in the field.

Out of all 3000 juvenile cases handled by JJC annually, only 4 (four) ended up in formal conciliation. Nevertheless, we can assume that in around 5% of the cases, some kind of informal conciliation has taken place.

2. Victim Support Department (VSD) was created in 2005, it operates under the Ministry of Social Affairs. They have 15 centres and 27 specialists employed across Estonia, in every county, who are in full payroll and other referring parties do not have to pay extra for their service.

This service has several functions that are related to juvenile justice and child welfare.

According to the Estonian Code of Criminal Procedure, victim support specialists also participate when the police are interrogating children who have been exposed to
domestic violence and sexual violence. This is done to ensure children’s rights during interrogation.

In 2011, most people took initiative themselves to turn to a victim support specialist (57%), over a third were sent there by police (35%) and a few people were guided there by other authorities (8%), incl. shelters, hospitals, municipalities etc.

Since most of the victim support specialists are located in the same building as the police, police officers also may forward people straight to the victim support specialist or invite the specialist to participate when they are meeting with the victim(s).

When victim support or corresponding child protection is related just to one party concerned, the mediation always involves both quarrelling (-ed) parties.

Specially trained victim support specialists also work as mediators (Estonian Victim Support Act refers to mediation as conciliation). Mediation service is a function of the VSD that is organising the mediation procedure and monitoring of compliance with the requirements of a written agreement entered into as a result thereof.

Mediation Service was created as an alternative to the punishment in criminal justice. It can only be implemented when someone has committed a second degree criminal offence, the circumstances of the crime are clear and there is no doubt about the perpetrator(s). The ruling about the implementation of the mediation service is issued by the prosecutor or court and it has to be approved by both the victim and perpetrator. When the victim of crime is a juvenile and the perpetrator is an adult, mediation service cannot be implemented.

The motivation for the perpetrator to agree to go through mediation is that the investigation will end and the data will not be registered in the Punishment Register (criminal record).

The mediator meets with both parties and explains the mediation process. The interests of both the victim and offender are under consideration giving a chance for both sides to express their feelings about the committed offence. Each mediation procedure only focuses on a specific offence; all past occurrences cannot be mended at once. Mediators cooperate with psychologists, psychiatrists, debt counsellors, women shelters, child protection specialists and other specialists.

Annually, about 700 cases are mediated. 90% of them concern physical abuse\(^{25}\) (small

\(^{25}\) **Penal Code, § 121. Physical abuse**
Causing damage to the health of another person, or beating, battery or other physical abuse which causes pain, is punishable by a pecuniary punishment or up to 3 years’ imprisonment.

**NB! It is enough for initiating a criminal case when a victim has just “felt pain” as a subjective feeling, without any lasting impact. Out of 1807 crimes committed by minors in 2012, 629 were thefts, followed by 574 cases of physical abuse. The last means that no (serious) damage to another person was done.**
violence) between people who usually know each other. These are family quarrels, children fighting at school etc.

The most popular conditions set in a conciliation agreement are politeness (84%), pecuniary conditions (27%), restraining from alcohol/drug abuse (18%), treatment or therapy (14%) and promise to do certain things, e.g. housework (11%).

**Civil conciliation**

Moreover, one type of conciliation is stipulated in the Conciliation Act, concerning civil matters.

Notaries, sworn advocates and other parties can be formal conciliators.

It concerns juvenile matters mostly only in those cases when a child is in a complicate situation between the parents and those multidimensional family matters are impossible to solve otherwise.

Usually it is relevant when some case or persons will afterwards go to the court. Judges are very likely to take into serious consideration when such formal conciliation has been performed and this can be a reason for release from punishment.

**Community service**

Community service can be appointed by JJC's or, the Prosecutors' Office.

In both cases, this quite often has restorative aims and impacts.

There are a lot of cases when a youth is sent to perform the service exactly on the spot where the offence was perpetrated. For instance, whenever possible with the graffiti-makers, they will go back to the same public place in order to smash it and restore the previous state.

**Other initiatives**

Naturally, there are lots of pilot projects and programmes run in this field. As every local JJC has their own budget and they are, to some extent, independent in their decisions, there are small restorative programmes carried out in every county. Nevertheless, most of these have not achieved a nation-wide status.

One principal programme that has been run for 10 years already by Crime Prevention
Foundation and has spread to lots of counties is multi-system mentoring (MSM).\textsuperscript{26}

MSM has its evidence-based practices on contemporary multisystem therapy programme. Here it is combined with professional case managers, external supervisors and volunteer or semi-volunteering mentors, acting as front-line therapists.

Most of juveniles are sent to the programme by JJC\textsuperscript{s}, sometimes also directly from the police or local authorities.

In MSM, all network of a juvenile is mapped and all relevant persons will be concerned as well as involved, when they agree to cooperate. Usually the referral is for 6-12 months. Mediation is, whenever necessary and possible, also part and parcel of the agenda.

In MSM, besides all relevant private persons involved, local community child welfare or social welfare specialists are always actively involved. There cannot be any successful secondary or tertiary restorative justice performed without that actual community involvement where the perpetrator is living.
C. Foster care within the juvenile justice system

Background of foster homes and foster families in Estonia

Estonia has inherited the Soviet system of state-run orphanages or, contemporarily, foster homes. There are 32 institutions of different size, for 4-250 children. In bigger ones there are maximum of 8 in one living unit, 2/3 are living in big institutions. Around 1200 children are under such foster conditions, 300 of them are between 15-17 and 250 are between 18-24 years of age.27

Around half of foster homes’ children have some kind of physical or mental health issues and this is the main reason why their family is not taking direct care of them or has abandoned them.

There are children who have been abandoned in early childhood. Even those up to 16 years of age who have been taken away from their parents, by the court, when there is not ensured proper care for the youth. They may be kept until 24 years of age on state support, if they have not become independent before or, there are more serious health issues that necessitate other types of social or medical rehabilitation.

When they become 18, there are separate youth homes that can offer them accommodation for some more years, if necessary. (Elder ones should be reasonably separated from the very small ones.) Local communities, where the child comes from, are obliged to provide such youth with a living place, when they are sent away from the state-run care. Yet, not all communities have such possibilities to offer, because there is not enough social real estate, run by the authorities.

Lots of families are willing to adopt a child but usually not in cases where there are obvious dangers of health or the child is already over ten years old.

Therefore, and in spite of the financial support that is offered to foster families, most of foster care children are still living in big orphanages. Only around 400 are living proper foster families.28

One significant hindrance to the further development of foster families vs. foster homes is the model of financing. Currently, when state remunerates 700 EUR per person per month in a foster home, the foster family receives just 192 EUR and, only until 19 of age

27 Foster homes’ research
28 State Child Ombudsman on foster homes
of the child (vs. 24 in the case of foster homes). And, in these fields, voluntary service is not very popular or prolific here.

**Foster care and juvenile justice**

Formally, there is the only following possibility of imposing foster care in our juvenile justice:

As described before, according to the §87 of the Penal Code, the criminal court can release a minor from punishment and impose a placement in a youth home.

But, actually, this has never been put in practice.

When the law was enacted, it was foreseen that youth homes (for 18–24 years old) of foster homes could participate in the process. Nevertheless, it has become obvious that such “half-way” houses definitely need specialized personnel and better financing, otherwise they cannot cope with the task.

*Therefore, on the basis of above described, we can state that foster care has no role in our juvenile justice system and, there are no predictable changes in the field foreseen.*

*Foster care cannot be a legal alternative to remand or convicted custody.*

Also, there are no other forms of closed secure units for children as substitutes for custody.
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